

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/749,932 12/31/2003		Prasad K. Deshpande	U 014681-4 6676		
140	7590	09/01/2005		EXAMINER	
LADAS & I			AULAKH, CHARANJIT		
26 WEST 61 NEW YORK			•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
11211 101,01	., 100.			1625	•
				DATE MAILED: 09/01/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	ion No.	Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summary			032	DESHPANDE ET AL.						
			r	Art Unit	·					
			S. Aulakh	1625						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply										
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).										
Status										
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 July 2005</u> .									
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)	oxtimes This action is r	non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposition of Claims										
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) 1-11 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 12-38 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Applicati	ion Papers									
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.										
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.										
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.										
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 										
Attachmen	t(s)									
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary							
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO r No(s)/Mail Date	•	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:)-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/749,932 Page 2

Art Unit: 1625

DETAILED ACTION

1. According to paper filed on July 1, 2005, the applicants have amended claims 15-20 and furthermore, have added new claims 21-38.

2. Claims 1-38 are now pending in the application.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed on July 1, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive regarding enablement and indefiniteness rejections. The applicants have submitted a declaration to overcome obviousness rejection. In regard to enablement rejection, the examiner does not agree with the applicants arguments that one skilled in the art has knowledge of the type of diseases caused by bacteria. The specification teaches antibacterial activity against some bacterial strains and therefore, specification is enabling only for treating those diseases which were known in the prior art to be caused by these specific strains. The applicants have not amended claims to include these specific diseases. The examiner also does not agree with the applicants arguments regarding indefiniteness rejections since specific diseases are not defined and furthermore, the degree of prevention is not defined and it is not clear how the risk is being assessed for developing bacterial infections in a mammal?

Conclusion

- 4. Rejections of claims 15-20 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph and second paragraph are maintained for the reasons of record.
- 5. rejection under 35 U.S.C 103(a) is now withdrawn.

Art Unit: 1625

NEW GROUNDS OF REJECTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claims 12-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Patel (WO 00/68229, cited on applicants form 1449).

Patel discloses Antibacterial optically pure benzoquinolizine carboxylic acids, pharmaceutical compositions containing these compounds and methods of treating bacterial infections using these compounds (see examples as well as claims 1-16). The pharmaceutical compositions comprising compounds disclosed specifically in examples 7 and 8 (see page 43) and methods of treating bacterial infections using these compounds clearly anticipate the instant claims since specific hydrate form will not be maintained in a pharmaceutical composition as well as in vivo following administration of these compounds.

Double Patenting

7. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

8. Claims 12-38 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 17, 18, 29 and 30 of U. S. Patent No. 6,514,986 (cited on applicants form 1449) since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: The pharmaceutical composition comprising S-(-)-9-fluoro-6,7-dihydro-8-(4-hydroxypiperidin-1-yl)-5-methyl –1-oxo-1H,5H-benzo[i,j] quinolizine-2-carboxylic acid L-arginine salt and methods of treating bacterial infections using this compound since specific hydrate form will not be maintained in a pharmaceutical composition as well as in vivo following administration of these compounds.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

9. Claims 12-38 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 8, 9 and 11 of U. S. Patent No. 6,664,267 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: The pharmaceutical composition comprising S-(-)-9-fluoro-6,7-dihydro-8-(4-hydroxypiperidin-1-yl)-5-methyl –1-oxo-1H,5H-benzo[i,j] quinolizine-2-

Art Unit: 1625

carboxylic acid L-arginine salt and methods of treating bacterial infections using this compound since specific hydrate form will not be maintained in a pharmaceutical composition as well as in vivo following administration of these compounds.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

- 10. Claims 1-11 are allowed since the instant tetrahydrate compounds of formula I are not disclosed in the prior art.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charanjit S. Aulakh whose telephone number is (571)272-0678. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang can be reached on (571)272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,932

Art Unit: 1625

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Charanjit S. Aulakh Primary Examiner Art Unit 1625